DECISION-MAKER:	CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SCRUTINY PANEL
SUBJECT:	THEMATIC SERIOUS CASE REVIEW: NON- ACCIDENTAL INJURY
DATE OF DECISION:	27 JANUARY 2022
REPORT OF:	INDEPENDENT CHAIR OF THE SOUTHAMPTON SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN PARTNERSHIP

CONTACT DETAILS					
Independent Chair	Title	Independent Chair of the Southampton Safeguarding Children Partnership			
	Name:	Derek Benson Tel: 023 8083 2468			
	E-mail	Derek.Benson@hants.gov.uk			
Author	Title	Southampton Safeguarding Partnership Manager			
	Name:	Debbie Key	Tel:	023 8083 2468	
	E-mail	I Debbie.key@southampton.gov.uk			

	STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY
--	------------------------------

BRIEF SUMMARY

To brief the Panel on the Non-Accidental Injury Thematic Serious Case Review, the recommendations and progress to date.

RECOMMENDATIONS:	and Spring Case Poving Popert, and		
	and Sorious Case Poview Pepert and		
recommendations with invited	iscuss progress against the endorsed representatives from Southampton ership's statutory safeguarding partners.		
REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS			
known as the Southampton Safeguard 2018 commissioned a serious case re agency working in safeguarding regard these are not about apportioning blam author has made a number of recomm and endorsed by the Southampton Sa	In line with statutory guidance the Local Children Safeguarding Board (now known as the Southampton Safeguarding Children Partnership) in March 2018 commissioned a serious case review into the effectiveness of multi-agency working in safeguarding regarding three infants. Reviews such as these are not about apportioning blame. They are about learning. The report author has made a number of recommendations which have been accepted and endorsed by the Southampton Safeguarding Children Partnership. The Panel are asked to scrutinise progress on implementing the endorsed recommendations.		
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED			
2. Criteria met for Serious Case Review			
DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)			

3. 4.	The Non-Accidental Injury Thematic Serious Case Review and Partnership Response were published on 25 th November 2021.
4.	
	The Thematic Serious Case Review considers the circumstances of three infants. Two were seriously harmed and one died. Due to the similarities in the age of the babies, the background of their parents and the timespan of the incidents occurring it was decided to consider the cases together.
5.	The Thematic Serious Case Review, attached as Appendix 1, identifies the findings, learning and recommendations. There is also good practice noted within the report.
6.	The Partnership Response, attached as Appendix 3, details the recommendations and the actions / progress made.
7.	The decision to publish the Thematic Serious Case Review was made by the independent chair of the partnership, in consultation with the statutory safeguarding partners (NHS Southampton City Clinical Commissioning Group, Hampshire Constabulary and Southampton City Council). The Department for Education and National Child Safeguarding Practice Review Panel were notified prior to publication as required. The National Panel, in their feedback to the SSCP considered the report to be a good example of a high quality review.
8.	Recommendations and agency activity are monitored on behalf of the Safeguarding Children Partnership through the Serious Incident and Learning Group. Actions for the SCC Children and Learning Service will also be monitored through the Improvement Plan, which provides single agency assurance.
RESOL	JRCE IMPLICATIONS
<u>Capital</u>	/Revenue
9.	None at this stage
Proper	ty/Other
10.	None
LEGAL	IMPLICATIONS
Statuto	ory power to undertake proposals in the report:
11.	Statutory Guidance, "Working together to safeguard children and young people 2015" and subsequent version 2018.
Other L	_egal Implications:
12.	None
RISK N	IANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS
13.	The Serious Case Review is about improving practice and identifying learning opportunities to reduce the risk of such events re-occurring.
POLIC	Y FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

The 2021-2025 Corporate Plan sets out the following regarding wellbeing in the city: "We want a city in which people can start well, live well, age well, and live happy and fulfilling lives. We will be a city that prevents and intervenes early, promotes wellbeing, and allows people to live independently for longer, enjoying their lives and all our great city has to offer."

KEY DECISION? No		No	
WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:		FECTED:	All
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION			
Appendices			
1.	SSCP Serious Case Review - Overview Report.		
2.	SSCP 6 Step Briefing		
3.	SSCP Partnership	Response – Tł	nematic Review – Non Accidental Injury

Documents in Members' Rooms

1.	None			
Equality	Equality Impact Assessment			
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety Impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out?			No	
Data Protection Impact Assessment				
	Do the implications/subject of the report require a Data Protection Impact No Assessment (DPIA) to be carried out?			
Other Background Documents Other Background documents available for inspection at:				
Title of Background Paper(s)Relevant Paragraph of the Accel Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing docume be Exempt/Confidential (if appli			ules / ocument to	
1.	None			